

### **Analysis of caffeine presence in chocolate products: A comparative study using UV spectroscopy**

**Raajisa V.V, Aiswaria R \***

Department of Forensic science, MES Kalladi College, Mannarkkad,  
678583, Kerala, India

\*Corresponding Author Tel: 8590711220;

E-mail address: aiswarialakshmi98@gmail.com

#### **Abstract**

Chocolate, a globally cherished confection, contains caffeine, a natural stimulant found in cacao beans. The research examines 30 chocolate samples, evenly split between those produced in India and the United Arab Emirates (UAE). The experiment was conducted by dissolving samples of chocolate with water and qualitatively analysed using UV-Visible spectroscopy, known for its precision and cost-effectiveness at a range of 200nm-400nm. The study reveals that 53.3% of Indian chocolates and 73.3% of Arabian chocolates contain caffeine, indicating regional differences in manufacturing practices or ingredient sourcing. Among four identical brands analyzed across both regions, only one brand maintained consistent caffeine levels, while others showed variability. These findings underscore the need for standardized production processes and regulatory oversight to ensure uniform caffeine content in chocolates globally. The results have implications for consumer awareness, regulatory bodies, and manufacturers, emphasizing the importance of accurate labelling and quality control. Future research should focus on quantifying caffeine levels, understanding regional differences, and evaluating the health impacts of caffeine in chocolates.

**Keywords:** Caffeine, Chocolate, UV-Visible Spectroscopy, Analysis

#### **1.INTRODUCTION**

Chocolate, derived from cacao beans, has been enjoyed for centuries, evolving from a bitter beverage in ancient Mesoamerican

cultures to a diverse range of beloved treats. This evolution has led to variations in flavor, texture, and composition, heavily influenced by manufacturing processes and ingredient selections across regions. A critical yet often overlooked aspect of chocolate is its caffeine content, which varies by type; dark chocolate typically contains more caffeine than milk or white chocolate. Caffeine, a natural stimulant, not only enhances chocolate's taste but also affects consumer health, necessitating greater awareness regarding its levels[1].

Recent focus on the nutritional aspects of chocolate has highlighted the need for accurate labeling of its caffeine content. While the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) recognizes caffeine as generally safe, excessive intake can lead to health issues such as addiction and anxiety. With rising global chocolate consumption, understanding its caffeine content is essential for informed dietary choices.

This research employs ultraviolet-visible (UV-Vis) spectroscopy to analyze caffeine levels in chocolate products, specifically comparing samples from India and the United Arab Emirates (UAE). The study aims to investigate how regional manufacturing processes and ingredient sourcing influence caffeine content. The findings are expected to inform industry practices, guiding manufacturers toward standardized production and better compliance with regulatory standards. Additionally, consumers will gain valuable insights into caffeine levels, promoting healthier dietary choices[2].

Ultimately, this research serves as a foundation for future studies on caffeine quantification in chocolate, potentially utilizing advanced techniques like high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC)[3,4]. By enhancing transparency in chocolate labeling and increasing public awareness of caffeine's health implications, this study contributes significantly to food science and public health. In summary, this research represents a crucial step in addressing the complexities of caffeine content in chocolate. By employing UV-Vis spectroscopy, it not only contributes to the field of food science but also offers practical applications for industry stakeholders, regulators, and health-conscious consumers[5]. The findings will foster greater transparency in chocolate labeling and enhance public awareness of the potential health impacts of caffeine consumption.

## **2.MATERIALS AND METHODOLOGY**

In this study, the analysis of caffeine in various chocolate samples was conducted using an ultraviolet-visible (UV-Vis) spectrophotometer. The reagents used included distilled water and acetone, while laboratory equipment comprised droppers, beakers, tissue paper, glass rods, and test tubes. The spectrophotometer was calibrated to scan the wavelength range of 230 to 400 nm, which allowed for the determination of the maximum absorbance wavelength for caffeine, identified at 275 nm. This wavelength was subsequently selected for further analysis to accurately quantify caffeine content in the chocolate samples.

### **2.1 SAMPLE COLLECTION:**

The sample collection process aims to gather a total of thirty chocolate samples, evenly distributed between the locally produced Indian chocolates and the foreign-made chocolates from the UAE. Fifteen chocolate varieties produced in India and available locally will be collected for analysis. Additionally, fifteen different chocolate varieties imported from the United Arab Emirates (UAE) was included which was directly exported. A total of 30 chocolates were collected. Importantly, the collected samples explicitly mentioned on their ingredients labels that they contain no caffeine content and labelling of chocolates was done as Indian Chocolates as IC from 1 to 15 and Arabian Chocolates as AC 1 to 15.

### **2.2 METHODOLOGY:**

#### **Preparation of Samples**

A step-by-step method is to be followed for the analysis,

#### **1.Dilution**

- Accurately weigh 1g of chocolate sample and place it into the beaker.
- Add 25ml of distilled water, to the beaker.
- Stir the contents into a solution until it melts.

#### **2.Filtration**

- Transfer the solution into a test-tube.
- Place the test tube into the manual centrifuge.



**Figure 1:Ultraviolet-Visible Spectrophotometer**

- Filter the solution manually by centrifuge
- Discard the supernatant and collect the clear solution.


### **UV-Visible Spectroscopy**

The sample prepared was placed in a quartz cuvette. The absorbance was measured at 272-275nm. A total of 30 samples were measured and spectrum was plot using Orgin software.


## **3.RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

### **INDIAN CHOCOLATE**


Out of 15 chocolates from Indian Market 8 Chocolate identified the Presence of Caffeine.




**Graph 1:Spectrum obtained from IC1**




**Graph 2: Spectrum Obtained from IC2**




**Graph 3: Spectrum obtained from IC3**




**Graph 4: Spectrum obtained from IC5**




**Graph 5: Spectrum obtained from IC6**




**Graph 6: Spectrum obtained from IC10**



**Graph 7: Spectrum obtained from IC13**




**Graph 8: Spectrum obtained from IC8**




**Graph 9: Spectrum obtained from IC7**

**ARABIAN CHOCOLATE**


Out of 15 chocolates from Indian Market 11 Chocolate identified the Presence of Caffeine




**Graph 10: Spectrum obtained from IC3**



**Graph 11: Spectrum obtained from IC4**




**Graph 12: Spectrum obtained from IC5**




**Graph 13: Spectrum obtained from IC7**







**Graph 14: Spectrum obtained from IC9**




**Graph 15: Spectrum obtained from IC10**




**Graph 16: Spectrum obtained from IC11**




**Graph 17: Spectrum obtained from IC12**



**Graph 18: Spectrum obtained from IC13**




**Graph 19: Spectrum obtained from IC14**



**Graph 20: Spectrum obtained using IC8**


This study aimed to analyze the presence of caffeine in chocolate products through UV spectroscopy, highlighting significant regional differences and brand consistency.




**Graph 21: Percentage of samples showing presence of caffeine in regions**

The examination of 30 chocolate samples—15 from India and 15 from Arabia—revealed that 53.3% of Indian chocolates and 73.3% of Arabian chocolates contained detectable caffeine levels. In addition to the general sample set, the study included a targeted comparison of four identical chocolate brands available in both India and Arabia. This disparity suggests that various factors, such as consumer preferences, manufacturing practices, and regulatory standards, influence caffeine inclusion in chocolate products and regulatory standards. The


comparison of four identical chocolate brands available in both India and Arabia revealed intriguing insights, on analysis of the chocolate showed consistency in one brand, only 1 out of the 4 brands showed the presence of caffeine in both Indian and Arabian samples. This suggests that this brand maintains a consistent formulation and ingredient sourcing across different regions, ensuring uniformity in caffeine content regardless of the market. Such consistency could be attributed to stringent quality control measures and standardized production processes employed by the brand to meet global standards and consumer expectations. In contrast, the remaining three brands exhibited caffeine in either the Indian or the Arabian versions, but not in both.




**Graph 21: Comparison of IC1 and AC1**



**Graph 21: Comparison of IC2 and AC2**




**Graph 23: Comparison of IC3 and AC3**



**Graph 24: Comparison of IC4 and AC4**

The comparative analysis showed the presence of peak in IC3 and AC3 sample of same brand, in others showed the absorbance graph for the presence of Caffeine in IC1, IC2 and AC4 samples. This finding suggests significant regional variability in the formulation or manufacturing processes of these brands. The presence of caffeine in only one brand across both regions indicates that this particular brand may have a standardized production process and ingredient sourcing, ensuring consistent caffeine content regardless of the market. On the other hand, the discrepancy observed in the other three brands points to several potential factors at play. Local regulatory standards may differ, requiring manufacturers to adjust their formulations to comply with specific regional laws. Ingredient sourcing practices might vary between regions, leading to natural differences in caffeine content.

Additionally, consumer preferences could influence the decision to include or exclude caffeine, with manufacturers tailoring their products to meet local tastes and demands.



**Graph 22: Comparison of Caffeine in 4 chocolate brands**

This variability highlights the complexity of maintaining uniform product formulations in a global market and underscores the need for further research into the specific reasons behind these differences. Understanding these factors can help manufacturers achieve greater consistency and cater to the diverse preferences of consumers worldwide. Several factors could contribute to this variability such as Local regulatory standards, different regions may have varying regulations concerning caffeine content in food products, prompting manufacturers to adjust their formulations to comply with local laws, the source of cocoa and other ingredients might differ between regions, affecting the caffeine content in the final Product, Consumer Preferences in which they could include variations in caffeine content.

The study's findings carry significant implications. The variability in caffeine content across regions emphasizes the need for consumers, especially those sensitive to caffeine, to be informed about potential levels in chocolate products. Regulatory bodies may utilize these results to enforce safety standards and standardize caffeine levels globally. Furthermore, the observed regional differences could prompt manufacturers to harmonize their production practices, adopting standardized formulations and ingredient sourcing. Future research

should focus on quantifying exact caffeine content, distinguishing between naturally occurring and added caffeine, and exploring the health impacts of caffeine in chocolates. Additionally, understanding the reasons behind regional differences and their effects on consumer satisfaction and brand perception could provide valuable insights for the industry

#### **4.CONCLUSION**

In conclusion, this study employed UV spectroscopy to analyze caffeine levels in chocolate products from Indian and Arabian markets, revealing significant regional variations and brand-specific consistencies. Caffeine was detected in 8 Indian samples and 11 Arabian brands, highlighting both uniformity and variability among identical brands. One brand maintained consistent caffeine content across regions, while others showed notable disparities, likely due to local regulations, ingredient sourcing, and consumer preferences. These findings underscore the importance of consumer awareness regarding caffeine levels, particularly for those managing their intake for health reasons. Regulatory bodies can leverage these insights to enforce consistent standards, and manufacturers may consider harmonizing production practices to align with global consumer expectations. Future research should focus on quantifying caffeine levels, exploring its origins in chocolate, and investigating health impacts, as understanding these regional variations is crucial for optimizing product formulations and addressing diverse market demands.

#### **REFERENCES**

1. V. R. Preedy, (Ed.) Caffeine: Chemistry, Analysis, Function and Effects: Vol. Volume 2 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1039/9781849734752>
2. T. Roehrs & T. Roth Caffeine: Sleep and daytime sleepiness. *Sleep Medicine Reviews*, 12(2) (2008) 153-162. <https://doi.org/10.1039/9781849734752>
3. A.R. De Sena Et Al, Analysis of Theobromine and Related Compounds by Reversed Phase High-Performance Liquid Chromatography with Ultraviolet Detection (1992–2011)

4. D. W. Armstrong & W. Demond, Determination of caffeine in chocolate: A new liquid chromatography method (2010).
5. J. J. Barone, & H. R. Roberts, Caffeine consumption. Food and Chemical Toxicology, 34(1) (1996), 119-129