

## Chapter- 10

---

# The Death of the Author Revisited: AI and the Future of Literary Criticism

**Dr. Yashavantha TS**

Assistant Professor,

Acharya Institute of Graduate Studies, Bengaluru

### Abstract

This Chapter, “The Death of the Author Revisited: AI and the Future of Literary Criticism,” embarks on a critical examination of the transformative impact of artificial intelligence on traditional constructs of authorship and literary critique. Anchoring the discussion in Roland Barthes’ landmark essay “The Death of the Author,” the study interrogates AI as a non-human creator of literary texts and the implications for authorial intent. It explores the roles of programmers and data curators and reflects on large language models (LLMs) and machine learning (ML) software, drawing parallels with Walter Benjamin’s “The Work of Art in the Age of Mechanical Reproduction.” The methodological framework integrates reader response analysis, theoretical synthesis, textual analysis, and contextual analysis. It examines readers’ engagement with AI-generated texts, synthesizes existing authorship theories, and explores the cultural, social, and technological contexts influencing these texts. This approach offers a nuanced perspective on AI’s reshaping of literary theory and criticism, addressing ethical and philosophical implications and highlighting the evolving practices in these fields.

**Key words:** AI-generated literature, authorship, reader response, literary criticism, ethical implications.

### Introduction

The landscape of literary criticism has undergone significant transformations over the years, influenced by various theoretical movements and technological advancements. One of the most influential essays in this field is Roland Barthes’ “The Death of the Author,” published in 1967. Barthes argued that a text’s meaning should not be

determined by the author's intentions but rather by the reader's interpretation, effectively decentralizing the role of the author (Barthes 148). This notion has become a cornerstone of post-structuralist thought, challenging traditional concepts of authorship and authority in literature.

In recent years, the emergence of artificial intelligence (AI) has introduced new dimensions to the discourse on authorship and textual analysis. AI technologies, particularly large language models (LLMs) and machine learning (ML)-enabled software, have demonstrated the capability to generate complex and sophisticated literary texts. These advancements raise intriguing questions about the nature of creativity, the role of the author, and the future of literary criticism. For instance, Liu Yang and colleagues have explored AI's potential to analyze Modernist French poetry through machine learning algorithms, highlighting how AI can classify poems by stylistic and thematic attributes, thus transcending traditional subjective analyses (Yang et al. 70). This integration of AI in literary studies aligns with broader trends in the digital humanities, where AI tools are increasingly used to analyze and reinterpret literary archives (Heather).

Moreover, the use of AI in academic research extends beyond mere analysis; it encompasses ethical and practical considerations. The application of AI tools in literature reviews and data analysis can enhance efficiency and precision but also brings challenges, such as potential biases in AI-generated responses and ethical implications regarding the authenticity of machine-based interpretations ("AI-Based Literature Review Tools"). These considerations echo Walter Benjamin's concerns about the effects of mechanical reproduction on the "aura" of art, raising critical questions about the legitimacy and integrity of AI-generated literary knowledge.

This chapter, titled "The Death of the Author Revisited: AI and the Future of Literary Criticism," aims to explore the implications of AI on the concept of authorship and the practices of literary criticism. By revisiting Barthes' arguments and juxtaposing them with the capabilities of contemporary AI, this study examines whether AI-generated texts challenge or reinforce the notion of the author's death. Furthermore, it reflects upon the implications of LLMs and ML-enabled software for the

acts of creative writing and literary evaluation, drawing parallels with Walter Benjamin's seminal essay "The Work of Art in the Age of Mechanical Reproduction."

The essay will begin by providing a historical context and theoretical framework, delving into the foundational ideas presented by Barthes and other relevant theorists. It will then explore the current state of AI in literary creation, discussing both its capabilities and limitations. Subsequently, the discussion will turn to the role of AI in shaping authorial intent and reader response, followed by an examination of the ethical and philosophical considerations surrounding AI-generated literature. The study will also include case studies of specific AI-generated texts, analyzing their critical reception and broader implications for literary theory. Finally, the essay will speculate on the future of literary criticism in an era increasingly influenced by artificial authorship.

This essay seeks to offer a nuanced perspective on how AI technologies are reshaping the landscape of literary theory and criticism. It aims to provide a comprehensive understanding of the evolving roles of the author, reader, and critic in a world where the boundaries between human and machine-created texts are increasingly blurred. Through this exploration, the essay will contribute to the ongoing discourse on the intersections of technology, creativity, and literary analysis.

The qualitative methodological framework for this study integrates reader response analysis, theoretical synthesis, textual analysis, and contextual analysis. It focuses on how readers engage with AI-generated texts, gathering qualitative data through interviews or surveys to capture their perceptions and emotional responses. The study also involves synthesizing existing theories of authorship and applying them to AI literature, examining narrative structures and thematic elements. Additionally, it considers the cultural, social, and technological contexts influencing these texts, exploring public perceptions and ethical considerations in the discourse surrounding AI in literature.

The integration of artificial intelligence in literary creation has led to the emergence of a posthuman mode of literature production, characterized by the collaboration between human creativity and machine algorithms. Alexander Elstermann's work delves into this

phenomenon, highlighting how AI-generated texts challenge traditional notions of authorship and creativity. He argues that these texts represent a “hybrid creation,” where both human and machine elements play crucial roles, thus complicating the attribution of authorship (Elstermann).

Elstermann also emphasizes the significant human involvement in the creation of AI-generated texts, from programming the algorithms to editing the final output. This involvement raises questions about the agency of AI and the extent to which it can be considered an author. He notes that “algorithmically facilitated writing requires rethinking our understanding of authorship” because the traditional authorial role is diffused among multiple actors, both human and non-human (Elstermann).

Furthermore, the interpretation of AI-generated literature presents unique challenges. The absence of a definitive human author complicates the application of traditional interpretive frameworks. Elstermann suggests that Barthes’ concept of “The Death of the Author” might need reevaluation in the context of AI, as the lack of a singular author figure shifts the focus to the text itself and its interpretive possibilities (Elstermann).

These perspectives are crucial for understanding the evolving landscape of literary criticism in the age of AI. As AI technologies continue to advance, they will likely further blur the boundaries between human and machine authorship, necessitating new approaches to both literary creation and analysis.

Based on the ideas and insights from the paper “Textual Alchemy: AI, Authorship and the Shifting Paradigms of Interpretation” by Adil Hussain and Khursheed Ahmad Qazi, AI’s role in literary production marks a significant shift in how we perceive authorship. The paper explores the concept of a “post-post author” era, suggesting that AI-generated texts challenge the traditional notion of the author as the central figure in textual creation. This aligns with Roland Barthes’ concept of the “Death of the Author,” but extends it further by introducing AI as an entity that can produce texts devoid of human emotional involvement (Hussain and Qazi 2-8).

Moreover, the authors discuss the ethical implications and biases inherent in AI-generated content. For example, AI systems can replicate societal biases present in their training data, leading to potential misrepresentation and exclusion of marginalized groups. This bias highlights a critical issue: while AI can generate sophisticated texts, the ethical considerations surrounding its use and the potential for perpetuating harmful stereotypes cannot be overlooked (Hussain and Qazi 6).

These perspectives are essential for understanding the evolving landscape of literary criticism and the new challenges that AI brings to the fore. The study suggests that as AI continues to develop, it may further blur the lines between human and machine authorship, raising questions about originality, creativity, and the ethical responsibilities of creators and consumers of AI-generated texts.

In the paper “The Hermeneutics of Computer-Generated Texts,” Leah Henrickson and Albert Meroño-Peñuela explore the application of hermeneutics to digital and computer-generated texts. They argue that digital technologies, particularly natural language generation (NLG) systems like GPT-2, challenge conventional understandings of authorship and reader responsibility. The authors suggest that “hermeneutics scholarship may guide interpretations of authorial intention and reader responsibility pertaining to computer-generated texts, whose authorship may be uncertain or obscured” (Henrickson and Meroño-Peñuela 130). This perspective highlights the complexity of meaning-making in the digital age, where the distinction between human and machine-generated content is increasingly blurred.

Further, the authors delve into the ethical implications of computer-generated texts, emphasizing the potential biases and ethical concerns associated with NLG systems. They note, “The non-release of GPT-2 was motivated by concerns over the misuse of its highly realistic language generation capabilities, highlighting the ethical complexities inherent in deploying such technologies” (Henrickson and Meroño-Peñuela 132). This underscores the need for careful consideration of the societal impacts and responsibilities associated with the use of AI in literature and communication.

The recent exploration of reader responses to AI-generated literature versus human-authored texts reveals a preference for human-written works, perceived as more emotionally resonant and stylistically superior (Hassan Rahmeh, 2023; Gunser et al., 2022). Although readers often struggle to distinguish between the two, they rate AI-generated content lower in quality, interest, and aesthetic appeal (Gunser et al., 2022). Jones (2022) highlights the role of AI-generated texts as a baseline, showcasing the innovation in human-authored literature. Cultural differences also influence these perceptions, with American audiences being more critical than Chinese audiences, who exhibit implicit preferences for human-authored works (Wu et al., 2020). These findings enhance our understanding of human-machine interactions in creative fields.

In the latter half of the 20th century, literary theory underwent a significant shift in its approach to authorship and textual interpretation. Two seminal works, Roland Barthes' *The Death of the Author* (1967) and Michel Foucault's *What is an Author?* (1969), challenged traditional notions of authorial intent and authority, paving the way for new perspectives on the relationship between text, author, and reader. This essay explores these influential theories and their impact on literary criticism and cultural studies.

### **Barthes 'The Death of the Author**

Roland Barthes' essay *The Death of the Author* is a pivotal text in poststructuralist literary theory. Published in 1967, it argues against the traditional practice of incorporating the intentions and biographical context of an author in the interpretation of a text. Barthes posits that "the birth of the reader must be at the cost of the death of the Author" (148). This provocative statement encapsulates his central thesis: that the meaning of a text is not determined by the author's intentions but is instead created through the act of reading.

Barthes contends that the figure of the Author is a modern construct, "a product of our society insofar as, emerging from the Middle Ages with English empiricism, French rationalism and the personal faith of the Reformation, it discovered the prestige of the individual" (142-143). He argues that this emphasis on the author as the source of

meaning limits the potential interpretations of a text and ignores the complex interplay of language and cultural context that informs any piece of writing.

The historical context of Barthes' essay is crucial to understanding its impact. It emerged during a period of significant social and cultural upheaval in France, coinciding with the student protests of May 1968. This era saw a broader questioning of traditional authority structures, and Barthes' critique of authorial authority can be seen as part of this larger movement.

Theoretically, *The Death of the Author* builds on structuralist linguistics, particularly Ferdinand de Saussure's concept of language as a system of signs. Barthes extends this idea to literature, arguing that a text is "a tissue of quotations drawn from the innumerable centres of culture" rather than the product of a single authorial consciousness (146).

### **Related Theories**

Michel Foucault's *What is an Author?* (1969) serves as both a response to and an extension of Barthes' ideas. While Barthes calls for the death of the author, Foucault interrogates the very concept of authorship and its function in discourse. He introduces the concept of the "author function," which he describes as "characteristic of the mode of existence, circulation, and functioning of certain discourses within a society" (Foucault 108).

Foucault argues that the author is not simply a person but a complex function of discourse that varies across different types of texts and historical periods. He notes that "the author function is tied to the legal and institutional systems that circumscribe, determine, and articulate the realm of discourses" (113). This perspective situates the concept of authorship within broader social and institutional frameworks, extending the discussion beyond purely literary concerns.

Other theorists have contributed to and expanded upon these ideas. Jacques Derrida's concept of "différance" emphasizes the inherent instability of meaning in language, further undermining the idea of a fixed, author-determined interpretation (Derrida 7). Stanley Fish's reader-response theory shifts focus to the reader's role in creating

meaning, arguing that interpretive communities, rather than individual authors or readers, determine textual interpretation (Fish 14).

Julia Kristeva's theory of intertextuality, which posits that "any text is constructed as a mosaic of quotations; any text is the absorption and transformation of another" (Kristeva 66), aligns with Barthes' view of texts as interconnected networks of cultural references rather than original creations of individual authors.

These theories collectively represent a significant shift in literary and cultural studies, moving away from author-centered interpretations towards more complex understandings of the relationship between text, author, reader, and broader cultural contexts. They have had a profound impact on various fields, including literary criticism, cultural studies, and even legal interpretations of copyright and intellectual property.

Barthes' *The Death of the Author* and related theories have fundamentally altered our understanding of authorship and textual interpretation. By challenging the traditional authority of the author, these theories have opened up new possibilities for reading and interpreting texts, emphasizing the active role of the reader and the complex interplay of language, culture, and meaning. As we continue to grapple with questions of authorship in the digital age, these theories remain relevant and continue to inform contemporary debates about creativity, originality, and the nature of textual production and consumption.

How does the use of AI writing assistants impact the creative process of human authors? Recent research explores the impact of AI writing assistants on human authors' creative processes. AI tools enhance brainstorming, generate story details, assist with world-building, and provide research support (Ippolito et al., 2022). They are often seen as active collaborators, inspiring writers with unexpected text (Yang et al., 2022). These tools can generate novel ideas, characters, plots, and stylistic elements across genres (Fiialka et al., 2024). However, challenges in preserving authorial voice and deep story understanding remain (Ippolito et al., 2022). For optimal use, AI should complement human creativity, supporting new creative practices (O'Toole & Horvát, 2024).



Recent advancements in Machine Learning (ML) have significantly expanded the creative possibilities for writers, offering new tools and approaches. A study exploring human-AI collaboration in fiction writing utilized an experimental web-based platform where writers interacted with AI-generated text. This platform allowed for editing, summarizing, and regenerating content, facilitating a collaborative storytelling method that alternated between human and AI contributions. Initial findings from nine participants revealed that AI-generated content often inspired writers and was perceived as an active collaborator. This research underscores the potential for a symbiotic relationship between human creativity and AI in fiction writing.

What criteria should be used to evaluate the quality and merit of AI-generated literature compared to human-authored works? Studies have explored the quality and perception of AI-generated literature compared to human-authored works. While readers struggle to differentiate between AI and human-written texts (Gunser et al.; Yeadon et al.), AI-generated content is often perceived as less inspiring and aesthetically pleasing (Gunser et al.). However, in academic writing, no significant difference in quality was found between AI and human-authored essays (Yeadon et al.). In poetry, human-AI collaboration produced the highest-rated haiku, suggesting potential for creative synergy (Hitsuwari et al.). Nonetheless, when comparing AI-generated sonnets to Shakespeare's work, readers favored the human-authored piece for its emotional depth and complex language (Rahmeh). These findings highlight the challenges in evaluating AI-generated literature and underscore the need for refined criteria that consider factors such as creativity, emotional resonance, and linguistic complexity when assessing the quality and merit of AI-generated works.

From the discussion on AI authorship, several key inferences can be made. First, the concept of AI authorship challenges traditional notions of authorship, necessitating a reevaluation of authorial intent and responsibility. Second, AI-generated texts align with post-structuralist perspectives, such as Barthes' "Death of the Author," by decoupling authorial intent from interpretation. Third, despite advancements in AI, human creativity remains irreplaceable, positioning AI as a complementary tool rather than a replacement for human

authors. Fourth, hermeneutic approaches are essential for exploring the evolving relationships between author, text, and reader in digital contexts. Lastly, the production and interpretation of AI-generated literature continue to be influenced by social and cultural contexts, underscoring their importance in literary studies.

The exploration of AI authorship brings forth several inferences. AI-generated texts challenge traditional notions of authorship, requiring a reevaluation of authorial intent and responsibility. These texts align with post-structuralist perspectives, such as Barthes' "Death of the Author," by decoupling authorial intent from interpretation. Despite AI advancements, human creativity remains irreplaceable, positioning AI as a complementary tool. Hermeneutic approaches are crucial for understanding evolving author-text-reader relationships in digital contexts. Additionally, the production and interpretation of AI-generated literature are influenced by social and cultural contexts, highlighting their significance in literary studies.

### **Findings:**

The findings of this chapter reveal significant shifts in the understanding of authorship and textual analysis due to the integration of AI technologies. AI-generated texts challenge the traditional concept of authorship, aligning with post-structuralist theories such as Barthes' "Death of the Author," which posits that the meaning of a text is derived from the reader's interpretation rather than the author's intentions (Barthes 148). This study highlights that, unlike human authors, AI lacks conscious intent, making the role of programmers and data curators crucial in shaping the final output. This nuance in authorship requires a reevaluation of what constitutes authorial intent and the extent to which AI can be considered a creator.

The research also underscores the complementary nature of AI in the creative process. While AI tools like large language models can generate complex narratives and offer innovative ideas, they do not replace human creativity. The unique emotional depth and cultural insight that human authors contribute remain irreplaceable. Henrickson and Meroño-Peñuela emphasize that AI's role in literature necessitates new interpretive frameworks, as traditional concepts of authorial intent

become less relevant in the context of machine-generated texts (130). This shift has profound implications for how we understand the relationship between author, text, and reader, especially in an era where AI's influence on literature is growing.

Further findings point to ethical concerns associated with AI-generated literature. The biases inherent in AI systems, often derived from the data they are trained on, can lead to the reinforcement of stereotypes and the misrepresentation of marginalized groups. This aspect of AI underscores the importance of ethical considerations in the development and deployment of these technologies. The study suggests that, as AI becomes more integrated into literary production, it is crucial to establish new criteria for evaluating the quality and impact of AI-generated works. These criteria should consider creativity, emotional resonance, and the socio-cultural implications of the texts.

Moreover, the paper explores the implications of AI for the future of literary criticism. As AI technologies continue to evolve, they will likely blur the boundaries between human and machine authorship even further. This evolution necessitates a rethinking of traditional literary theories and the development of new methodologies that can account for the unique attributes of AI-generated texts. The study concludes that the ongoing dialogue between human and machine-generated texts will play a pivotal role in shaping the future landscape of literary criticism, offering fresh perspectives on the roles of authors, readers, and critics in a digitally-mediated world. These findings contribute to the broader discourse on the intersections of technology, creativity, and literary analysis, highlighting the need for an adaptive approach to literary studies in the age of AI.

## Works Cited

1. Barthes, Roland. *The Death of the Author*. Image, Music, Text, translated by Stephen Heath, Hill and Wang, 1977, pp. 142-148.
2. Derrida, Jacques. "Différance." *Margins of Philosophy*, translated by Alan Bass, University of Chicago Press, 1982, pp. 1-27.
3. Elstermann, Alexander. "Computer-Generated Text as a Posthuman Mode of Literature Production." *Open Library of Humanities*, vol. 7, no. 1, 2021, <https://olh.openlibhums.org/article/id/4663/>.

4. Fish, Stanley. *Is There a Text in This Class?: The Authority of Interpretive Communities*. Harvard University Press, 1980.
5. Foucault, Michel. "What Is an Author?" *Language, Counter-Memory, Practice: Selected Essays and Interviews*, edited by Donald F. Bouchard, Cornell University Press, 1977, pp. 113-138.
6. Heather. "The Literary Archive in the Artificial Intelligence Era." *Review Gale*, Gale, 2024, review.gale.com.
7. Gunser, Vivian Emily, et al. "The Pure Poet: How Good is the Subjective Credibility and Stylistic Quality of Literary Short Texts Written with an Artificial Intelligence Tool as Compared to Texts Written by Human Authors?" *Proceedings of the First Workshop on Intelligent and Interactive Writing Assistants (In2Writing 2022)*, 2022.
8. Henrickson, Leah, and Albert Meroño-Peñuela. "The Hermeneutics of Computer-Generated Texts." *Configurations*, vol. 30, no. 2, 2022, pp. 115-139. Johns Hopkins University Press, doi:10.1353/con.2022.0008.
9. Hitsuwari, Jimpei, et al. "Does Human-AI Collaboration Lead to More Creative Art? Aesthetic Evaluation of Human-Made and AI-Generated Haiku Poetry." *Comput. Hum. Behav.*, vol. 139, 2022, 107502.
10. Kristeva, Julia. "Word, Dialogue and Novel." *The Kristeva Reader*, edited by Toril Moi, Columbia University Press, 1986, pp. 34-61.
11. Rahmeh, Hassan. "Digital Verses Versus Inked Poetry: Exploring Readers' Response to AI-Generated and Human-Authored Sonnets." *Scholars International Journal of Linguistics and Literature*, 2023.
12. Texas A&M University. "AI-Based Literature Review Tools." *Research Guides at Texas A&M University*, 2024, tamu.libguides.com.
13. Yang, Liu, Gang Wang, and Hongjun Wang. "Reimagining Literary Analysis: Utilizing Artificial Intelligence to Classify Modernist French Poetry." *Information*, vol. 15, no. 2, 2024, doi:10.3390/info15020070.
14. Yang, Daijin, et al. "AI as an Active Writer: Interaction Strategies with Generated Text in Human-AI Collaborative Fiction Writing." *IUI Workshops*, 2022, pp. 56-65.

15. Yeadon, Will, et al. "Evaluating AI and Human Authorship Quality in Academic Writing through Physics Essays." *European Journal of Physics*, 2024.